

From: [Chip Humphrey](#)
To: [James McKenna](#)
Cc: [Carl Stivers](#); [James McKenna](#); 'jworonets@anchorqea.com'; [Kristine Koch](#); [Wyatt, Robert](#)
Subject: RE: Meeting or Conference Call to Discuss RALs/Alternatives
Date: 07/25/2011 11:51 AM

Jim - we could talk at 1:30pm tomorrow on the table comments; still checking on 11am Weds for the RALs

▼ James McKenna ---07/25/2011 11:23:41 AM---Chip, Thank you for your voice mail this morning. It is my understanding from your message that you

From: James McKenna <jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>
To: James McKenna <jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>, Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: "Wyatt, Robert" <rjw@nwnatural.com>, Carl Stivers <cstivers@anchorqea.com>, "'jworonets@anchorqea.com'" <jworonets@anchorqea.com>
Date: 07/25/2011 11:23 AM
Subject: RE: Meeting or Conference Call to Discuss RALs/Alternatives

Chip,

Thank you for your voice mail this morning. It is my understanding from your message that you and Kristine are booked with interviews today and tomorrow, and therefore the earliest you can meet to discuss your comments regarding RALs and alternatives is Wednesday afternoon. It is also my understanding that you will try to get us something in writing tomorrow that further clarifies your comments on the RALs and alternatives. Jen will start working immediately to arrange our Wednesday afternoon meeting (she will need to know who will be attending from the EPA Team).

Just a reminder that AQ is currently running the abiotic portion of the MNR model for all the alternatives, and it is slated to be completed by this Friday. Windward will then perform the FWM component, which will take another week. Therefore, any directed comments from EPA regarding new RALs for SMA development or new alternatives will have significant schedule implications. However, as we mentioned to you last week, if we simply modify Alternative F to have a PCB RAL of 75, and do not add an Alternative G, then there would be little to no schedule implications.

In addition, the table we provided to you last week identified numerous directed comments for which the dispute deadline is July 29th. This means Exec will have to make a decision about whether to invoke dispute for those comments Wednesday morning, July 27th. It would be great if we could have a 30 to 60 minute conference call prior to Wednesday morning to get clarification on a few of these comments (namely, comments #15, 16, 17, 18, and 23). We feel that the remainder of the

directed comments slated for the July 29th deadline are manageable.

Please call me to arrange this conference call.

Thanks,

Jim McKenna
Verdant Solutions, LLC
1519 SW Columbia Street
Suite A
Portland, OR 97201
Office: (503) 477-5593
Cell: (503) 309-1621
jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com

From: James McKenna
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2011 8:40 AM
To: 'Chip Humphrey'; Kristine Koch
Cc: 'Wyatt, Robert'; Carl Stivers; 'jworonets@anchoragea.com'
Subject: Meeting or Conference Call to Discuss RALs/Alternatives

Good morning Chip and Kristine:

I hope you both had wonderful weekends! As mentioned last week we feel it is imperative that we get some meetings or conference calls arranged in order to talk about some critical issues associated with the Agency's comments on the FS Key Elements Check-in. In particular, we'd like to arrange a discussion on the RALs and the Alternatives (today if possible).

Also, the table we provided last week with our initial draft responses to your comments contained a column related to whether an EPA comment is a directed comment, and it's respective dispute deadline. It would be great if you could review our categorization of these comments to ensure we a) appropriately identified the directed comments, and b) assigned the right dispute deadline in accordance with your cover letter.

Thanks,

Jim McKenna
Verdant Solutions, LLC
1519 SW Columbia Street
Suite A
Portland, OR 97201
Office: (503) 477-5593
Cell: (503) 309-1621
jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com